Assessment Tools

Hatim Al-Jifree

MB;ChB(Hon), MMedEd, CHSE, FRCSC Gynecological Oncology Consultant Assistant Professor

Tools

- Written Tests
- Structured Oral Examinations
- Direct Observation (mini-CEX)
- Objectives Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE)
- Standardized Patients
- Multi-source Feedback (360° assessment)
- Portfolios and Logbooks
- Simulation-based assessment

Written Tests

Written Tests

Constructed response

- Essays
- Short-answer questions
- Modified Essays

Selected response

- Multiple Choice Questions
- Matching Questions
- Extended Matching questions
- True False

Constructed Response

• Advantages:

- Multiple learning objectives can be assessed
- Image: Book Application Best Application
 Image: Book Application
- Simple to develop and administer

Disadvantages:

- Difficult to score reliably
- Learner's approaches to questions are inconsistent and unstandardized
- Difficult for markers to avoid the *halo* or millstone effect
- Time consuming
- Influence of handwriting, grammar, writing abilities and skills

Constructed Response

Good for Assessing:

Medical expertise (knowledge and attitudes)

Organizational and writing skills

OAbility to synthesize information

Written communication

Managerial knowledge base

Output Approaches to health advocacy

Scholarly knowledge base

Professional knowledge base (e.g. ethics)

Constructed Response

Limited ability to assess:

OPerformance in actual practice

Practice behaviors

Clinical skills and procedures

Collaborative skills

(e.g. teamwork and conflict negotiation)

Selected response

Advantages

Can assess diverse content

Ostandardized

O Administration is straightforward

Large number of learners can take the test **simultaneously**

Questions can be banked and reused

Disadvantages:

Correct responses could be due to chance

Low face validity

Difficult to create incorrect yet plausible distractors for MCQs

Question development is time-consuming (up to 60 Minutes)

Selected Response

Good for Assessing:

Modeling Contraction of Knowledge
 And Application of Knowledge
 And Application
 And App

Core knowledge in all CanMeds competencies

Diagnostic reasoning

• Limited ability to assess:

Communication skills

Collaboration

Manager skills

Structured Oral Examinations

• Definition:

O An assessor or panel of assessors to pose a series of questions to assess and react to the learner's responses.

Oral examinations allow a high level of dynamic interaction.

For summative oral assessments.

They are usually scored using a pre-defined, structured template.

Structured Oral Examinations

Advantages:

- Tests beyond knowledge base to see how knowledge is applied to a situation
- Learners can get immediate feedback
- O Assessor(s) can probe to confirm learner's knowledge or reasoning or to explore competencies to a greater depth
- Image: High face validity

Disadvantages:

- Limited number of cases may lead to low reliability
- Difficult to standardize
- Testing environment may provoke anxiety in learners, leading to poor performance
- Time-consuming

Structured Oral Examinations

Good for assessing: Medical Expert Communicator Manager Health Advocate OScholar Professional



- Limited ability to assess:
 - Procedural skills
 - Physical examination
 - OActual performance in real situations
 - Collaboration, teamwork and
 - leadership

• Definition:

Ongoing observation, assessment and <u>documentation</u>

What distinguishes direct observation from other forms of assessment is that the learner is performing *authentic* actions that occur *naturally* as part of daily clinical experience (mini-CEX)

Advantages:

Enables assessment of real-time performance

Enables assessment of technical skills that <u>cannot</u> be

measured in other forms of assessment

In the Highly useful as formative assessment

High face validity

Disadvantages:

If unstructured & unstandardized, validity and reliability are questionable

Different <u>observers</u> might assess different <u>things</u>

Little control over the situations observed; resulting behaviors are highly varied

Documentation is sometimes not timely or does not occur at all

Good for assessing:

Nearly all key competencies for all CanMEDS Roles

OHigher-order behaviors (Skills application)

Limited ability to assess:

Scholarly research competencies

Scholarly lifelong learning



• Definition:

The objective structured clinical examination (OSCE) samples the <u>performance</u> of learners as they rotate through a series of stations.

- ① At each station, learners may encounter:
 - Standardized patient
 - Simulation
 - Visual information (e.g.,x-ray films,electrocardiograms) = OSPE
 - Written task = OSPE

Learners are usually asked to perform a specific skill, to simulate part of a patient encounter, or to answer questions based on the presented material.



- Advantages:
 - Clinical basis lends high face validity
 - Can focus on specific content areas or skills
 - Standardizable
 - Provides direct observation of performance in a controlled situation
- Disadvantages:

- Time-consuming to develop
- Complex to administer: requires many examiners and many rooms
- Only a few content areas can be sampled
- Testing environment is artificial
- Cost-effective only if many learners are assessed



- Good for assessing:
 - **Whistory**-taking skills
 - Physical examination skills
 - Physician-patient communication skills
 - Diagnostic reasoning, patient management and treatment planning
- Limited ability to assess:

Complex ethical and professional behaviors

- Collaborative interactions
- Teaching and research skills

Standardized Patients

Definition:

Standardized patients can be either:

- Healthy actors trained to simulate
- Actual patients trained to present
- They can be included as part of:
 - OSCE station
 - Oral examination

Standardized Patients

- Advantages:
 - High face validity
 - **OFOCUSED** content areas/skills
 - Standardized to assess all learners consistently
- Disadvantages:
 - Time-consuming to train standardized patients
 - Time-consuming to develop scenarios and scripts

Only a few content areas can be sampled

Standardized Patients

- Good for assessing:
 - History-taking
 - Physical examination
 - Physicia-patient communication
 - Diagnostic reasoning
- Limited ability to assess:
 - Complex ethical and professional behaviors
 - Genuine collaboration
 - Oscholar roles
 - Pediatric practice

Multi-source Feedback (360° Assessment)

- Specific instruments (Survey) to gather data about:
 - Particular behaviors
 - Professional constructs
 - (e.g. professionalism and communication skills)
- May include:
 - <u>Physicians</u> (e.g. resident, peers, supervising physicians and medical students)
 - Allied <u>health professionals (e.g. nurses or pharmacists)</u>
 - Patients and family members
- A self-assessment is frequently included

Multi-source Feedback

• Advantages:

Assesses behaviors

Reliability is obtained by virtue of the number of assessors

Can be used for formative purposes to guide self-improvement

Disadvantages:

Ourvey had to be <u>meticulously designed</u>

Requires an <u>appropriate sample</u> of assessors for reliability

Requires a stable infrastructure for survey distribution, data collation and reporting

Language barriers may influence patient participation

Multi-source Feedback

Good for assessing:

Interpersonal communication

- Professionalism
- Collaboration
- OAdvocacy for patients
- Limited ability to assess:

Modeling Control Contro Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Contro

Portfolios and Logbooks

- Logbooks: are structured instruments for documenting learning activities
- Portfolios provide:
 - Means of collecting <u>evidence</u> of the achievement
 - Over time (Longitudinal)
 - <u>Reflection</u>
 - Multiple activities

Portfolios and Logbooks

- Advantages:
 - High authenticity
 - **OFlexible**
 - Learner-centered, reflecting individual goals and interests
- Disadvantages:

Only as useful as the **component** parts

- **©Time-consuming** to create and to assess
- Requires appropriate design
- Requires review and input by the teacher

Portfolios and Logbooks

Good for assessing:

Almost all CanMEDS Roles

Documentation of procedural activities

Various aspects of the Communicator Role (written, oral, interpersonal)

Scholar competencies of lifelong learning, research and teaching

Demonstrates evidence of collaboration and teamworkExcellent for providing ongoing formative assessment



- Limited ability to assess:
 - Medical Expert Role
 - OSituations where summative decisions
 - are being taken

Simulation-based assessment

 Simulation is the artificial recreation of a clinical environment to undertake a specific task in a <u>controlled</u> manner with no risk to patients

(Post-Partum Hemorrhage Workshop)

 Simulation is particularly useful in assessing learner performance of:

Complete procedure

In crisis situations that might not commonly be encountered

(4th degree tear or Cardiac arrest)

Simulation-based assessment

• Advantages:

Measures the entire procedure from start to finish
Measures performance in emergencies
provides a standardized and controlled environment
Formative and summative assessments are possible

Disadvantages:

Resource intensive (space, equipment and personnel)
 Requires considerable commitment of faculty time

Simulation-based assessment

Good for assessing: Medical Expert Communication Collaboration Manager Professional



•Limited ability to assess:

Dealth Advocate Role

OScholar Role

Key Tools for assessing the CanMed competencies

	Medial Expert	Communicator	Collaborator	Health Advocate	Manager	Scholar	Professional
Written tests	+++	+	+	+	++	+++	+
Oral exam	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+
Direct Observation & ITER	+++	+++	+++	+	++	+++	+++
OSCE / StdPt	+++	+++	+	+	+	+	+
360° / Peer evaluation	++	++	+++	++	++	+	++
Portfolio	++	+	+	++	++	+++	++
Simulations	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+